

United States District Court, Eastern District of Washington
Magistrate Judge Alexander C. Ekstrom
Yakima

USA v. PRESTON KEITH FUNDERBURGH Case No. 1:25-cr-02056-SAB-1

Arraignment on Indictment:

05/14/2025

- | | |
|---|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ruby Mendoza, Courtroom Deputy [Y] | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Courtney Pratten, US Atty |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Melissa Orosco, Courtroom Deputy [S] | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ben Hernandez, Defense Atty |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Araceli Mendoza, US Probation / Pretrial Services Officer | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Interpreter NOT REQUIRED |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Defendant present <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> in custody USM
<input type="checkbox"/> out of custody | <input type="checkbox"/> Defendant not present / failed to appear |

-
- | | |
|---|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rights summarized | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Defendant continued detained |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Acknowledgment of Rights filed | <input type="checkbox"/> Conditions of release as previously imposed |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Defendant received copy of charging document | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Defendant waived reading of charging document | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Charging document read in open court | |

REMARKS

The Defendant appeared and acknowledged to the Court that their true and correct name is: PRESTON KEITH FUNDERBURGH.

Defendant was assisted by counsel and advised of their rights and the allegations contained in the charging document.

“Not guilty” plea entered.

Defendant previously appeared on 4/30/2025 and was advised of his rights at that time; defendant came back before the Court today and waived a further advisement with consent of his attorney.

Discovery to be provided pursuant to the local rule on discovery.

The Court ordered:

1. Matters involving detention have been previously heard and determined. Issue of detention not before the Court. Pre-existing Order of Detention will remain in full force.
2. Defendant shall be detained by the U. S. Marshal until further order of the Court.
3. As required by Rule 5(f), the United States is ordered to produce all information required by *Brady v. Maryland* and its progeny. Not doing so in a timely manner may result in sanctions, including exclusion of evidence, adverse jury instructions, dismissal of charges, and contempt proceedings. Order forthcoming.